



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed Edition :

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**



### **Megha Middha**

*Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar*

*Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society*

### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



## Dr. Namita Jain



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpna

*Assistant professor of Law*

*Mrs.S.Kalpna, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and*

*learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **A SHORT COMMENT AGAINST THE DECISION OF CARPOOLING BAN IN BANGALORE BY KARNATAKA HIGH COURT**

AUTHORED BY - ROHIT KUMAR DUGAR

## **INTRODUCTION**

The recent decision by the Karnataka Government to ban carpooling in Bangalore is a step in the wrong direction. Carpooling has been a popular and effective way for people to reduce traffic congestion, lower their carbon footprint, and save money on transportation. This decision seems to overlook the numerous benefits it offers to both individuals and the environment. Carpooling promotes community and reduces the number of vehicles on the road, which can help ease traffic woes. By discouraging carpooling, the government is inadvertently encouraging more cars on the road, which will only exacerbate the city's traffic problems.

Additionally, carpooling is an eco-friendly alternative that contributes to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. By discouraging this practice, the government is hindering efforts to combat air pollution and climate change, which is a growing concern for our city.

Furthermore, the ban could have severe economic consequences, affecting rideshare drivers and countless individuals who rely on carpooling to reduce their transportation costs. In a city as bustling as Bangalore, where the cost of living continues to rise, such a restriction may disproportionately burden the less affluent segments of society. In this paper, we will delve into the various dimensions of this contentious issue, aiming to shed light on potential alternatives to an outright carpooling ban in Bangalore.

## **RATIONALE BEHIND THE CARPOOLING**

The decision to ban carpooling in Bangalore by the Karnataka government is primarily grounded in three key concerns:

- 1. Traffic Congestion and Infrastructure Issues:** Bangalore is notorious for its traffic congestion and inadequate infrastructure. The government perceives that carpooling may

contribute to traffic jams, particularly during peak hours, exacerbating the already strained road networks. By banning carpooling, authorities hope to reduce the number of vehicles, thereby alleviating traffic congestion and potentially lessening the burden on the city's infrastructure. This, in turn, can improve overall mobility for commuters and goods transportation.

- 2. Environmental Concerns:** One of the central motivations for the carpooling ban is the pressing need to address environmental challenges. Bangalore, like many major cities, faces issues related to air quality and carbon emissions. The government aims to reduce the overall number of vehicles on the road as a strategy to combat pollution and minimize the city's carbon footprint. By curbing carpooling, it intends to discourage the use of fossil fuel-powered vehicles and encourage alternative, more eco-friendly transportation options.
- 3. Public Safety and Ride-Sharing Services:** The ban on carpooling is also rooted in concerns about public safety and the regulation of ride-sharing services. Authorities may have concerns about the safety of passengers in carpooling arrangements and the need for greater oversight to ensure that ride-sharing services meet certain standards in terms of driver qualifications, vehicle maintenance, and passenger safety. By implementing the ban, the government aims to establish more stringent regulatory control over these services.

## BENEFITS OF CARPOOLING

**Environmental Sustainability:** Carpooling significantly reduces the number of vehicles on the road, leading to a decrease in greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution. This eco-friendly practice is aligned with global efforts to combat climate change and improve air quality.

- 1. Reduction in Traffic Congestion:** Carpooling has the potential to ease traffic congestion in densely populated urban areas. By sharing rides, fewer vehicles are on the road during peak hours, leading to smoother traffic flow, shorter travel times, and reduced stress for commuters.
- 2. Cost Savings for Commuters:** Carpooling allows participants to share the cost of fuel and maintenance, resulting in substantial savings for individuals. Commuters can cut down on their transportation expenses, making it an attractive option for those seeking a more economical way to travel.

- 3. Social and Economic Benefits:** Carpooling fosters social interactions and community building. It provides an opportunity for individuals to connect, share stories, and build friendships during their daily commute. Additionally, reduced traffic congestion leads to economic benefits by saving time, fuel, and reducing wear and tear on road infrastructure.

## CRITIQUE OF THE CARPOOLING BAN

**Unintended Consequences:** The carpooling ban may have unintended consequences, such as an increase in the number of single-occupancy vehicles on the road. This could exacerbate traffic congestion and air pollution, which is contrary to the ban's environmental and traffic-reduction goals.

- 1. Impact on Low-Income Commuters:** Carpooling is often a cost-effective transportation option for low-income individuals who rely on it to reach their workplaces. Banning carpooling could disproportionately affect these commuters, making their daily commutes more expensive and less convenient.
- 2. Alternatives and Mitigation Measures:** Instead of an outright ban, the government could consider alternative approaches to regulate and promote carpooling. Implementing stringent regulations on carpooling services, such as safety standards and insurance requirements, could address public safety concerns without banning the practice altogether.
- 3. Overlooking the Benefits:** The ban fails to consider the numerous benefits of carpooling, such as reduced carbon emissions, lower fuel consumption, and social interactions among commuters. These advantages contribute to the overall well-being of both individuals and the community.
- 4. Inflexibility:** A blanket ban on carpooling may be seen as an inflexible response to the city's transportation challenges. It does not account for the diverse needs and preferences of commuters and limits their choices in navigating the city.
- 5. Legal and Regulatory Issues:** Enforcing a carpooling ban could pose legal and regulatory challenges, as ride-sharing services and carpooling platforms have become integral parts of the transportation ecosystem. The ban may create a complex web of legal issues and disputes.

## LEGAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

- 1. Ridesharing Guidelines:** In some states, including Karnataka, specific guidelines have been issued for ridesharing services. These guidelines may specify requirements for ride-sharing platforms, driver-partners, and safety standards.
- 2. Insurance Requirements:** Regulations may require ridesharing services to ensure adequate insurance coverage for passengers and third parties. For example, they might mandate commercial insurance policies for driver-partners.
- 3. State Transport Authority Regulations:** State-level authorities, such as the Karnataka State Transport Authority, have the power to issue permits, set fares, and regulate various aspects of public transportation, including carpooling.
- 4. Driver Licensing:** Regulations typically require drivers providing rides on carpooling platforms to have valid driver's licenses. In some cases, additional endorsements or permits may be necessary.
- 5. Motor Vehicles Act, 1988:** The Motor Vehicles Act serves as the foundational legal framework for all forms of motorized transportation in India. It contains provisions related to vehicle registration, driver licensing, and traffic rules that are applicable to carpooling.

## PREVIOUS JUDGMENTS

**Association of Radio Taxis v. State of NCT of Delhi (2015):** This case revolved around the regulation of radio taxi services and ridesharing platforms in Delhi. The Delhi High Court issued guidelines for regulating taxi services, including background checks for drivers and safety standards.

**Uber India Systems Pvt. Ltd. v. Ankit Goel and Others (2017):** In this case, Uber challenged the government's decision to ban surge pricing during peak hours. The Delhi High Court allowed dynamic pricing but with certain restrictions.

## PUBLIC PERCEPTION AND REACTION

**Environmental Concerns:** Some members of the public supported the ban, believing that it was a necessary step to reduce traffic congestion and lower emissions, contributing to environmental sustainability. They saw it as a way to address the city's ongoing pollution and traffic problems.

- 1. Frustration and Discontent:** Many residents of Bangalore expressed frustration and discontent over the ban, as carpooling had become an essential part of their daily commute. They argued that the ban inconvenienced them and made their transportation more costly.
- 2. Social Media Outcry:** Social media platforms served as a space for many to voice their opinions, organize protests, and share information regarding the carpooling ban. The ban garnered significant attention on social media, with hashtags and online campaigns.
- 3. Petitions and Protests:** Some individuals and organizations launched petitions and organized protests against the ban. They sought to draw attention to the challenges it posed to residents and demanded a reconsideration of the policy.

## ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

- 1. Impact on Ride-Share Drivers:** Carpooling and ride-sharing platforms provided employment opportunities for many individuals in Bangalore. The ban had a direct financial impact on these drivers, as they lost their source of income. This disruption in employment contributed to economic instability for those who relied on these platforms as their primary source of revenue.
- 2. Reduced Income for Commuters:** Carpooling offered a cost-effective way for commuters to share transportation costs. With the ban, individual commuters faced higher transportation expenses as they transitioned to other forms of transport or solo driving. This reduction in disposable income could have a ripple effect on other sectors of the economy.
- 3. Transportation Industry:** The transportation industry, including taxi services and auto-rickshaw drivers, may experience changes in demand and revenue due to the ban on carpooling. Some transportation providers may witness increased business, while others may see a decline.
- 4. Taxation and Revenue:** Governments typically collect taxes and fees from ridesharing and carpooling platforms. A decline in these services may impact the revenue generated through these taxes.
- 5. Infrastructure Costs:** Increased road congestion and wear and tear on infrastructure can result in higher maintenance and construction costs for the government, which may have indirect fiscal implications.

- 6. Economic Disparities:** The economic impact of the ban might not be uniform across society. Low-income individuals, who often rely on cost-effective transportation options like carpooling, may face a disproportionate economic burden.

## INTERNATIONAL COMPASRISION

International comparisons can provide valuable insights into how different countries approach carpooling and the associated regulations, policies, and outcomes. Here are some international comparisons related to carpooling:

- 1. United States:** Carpooling is a well-established practice in the United States, with High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes reserved for carpoolers. Ridesharing platforms like Uber and Lyft offer carpooling options in many cities. The regulatory approach varies by state, with some states offering incentives for carpooling, such as carpool lane access and tax benefits.
- 2. Canada:** Similar to the U.S., Canada has HOV lanes, and carpooling is encouraged in various provinces. Carpooling is promoted for its environmental benefits and as a means to reduce traffic congestion. Incentives may include access to carpool lanes and tax breaks for carpool participants.
- 3. European Union:** Several European countries have embraced carpooling as a sustainable transportation option. France, for example, offers incentives like tax benefits for carpoolers, and countries like Germany and the Netherlands promote ridesharing to reduce congestion and environmental impact.
- 4. China:** China has implemented carpooling policies to combat urban traffic congestion and reduce pollution. Some cities offer carpool lanes, while ridesharing platforms like Didi Chuxing have carpooling services. Regulations, however, vary by city, and some places have imposed restrictions on carpooling services during certain hours to ease congestion.
- 5. Singapore:** The government of Singapore encourages carpooling to reduce traffic congestion and curb vehicle emissions. Incentives include reduced Electronic Road Pricing (ERP) fees and parking concessions for carpoolers. Singapore has even launched its carpooling app to promote ridesharing.
- 6. Australia:** Carpooling is promoted in Australia as an environmentally friendly and cost-effective transportation option. Several Australian cities have carpool lanes and initiatives

to encourage ridesharing among residents. Additionally, the government provides tax incentives for carpool participants.

7. **Brazil:** Carpooling initiatives have been introduced in cities like São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro to combat congestion and reduce air pollution. Carpooling apps and platforms are available to connect commuters who wish to share rides.
8. **India:** In addition to Bangalore's carpooling ban, various Indian cities have adopted carpool lanes and ride-sharing platforms. Regulations differ by state, and incentives such as reduced tolls and tax benefits for car-poolers are implemented in some regions.

## **SUGGESTIONS**

1. Instead of imposing a ban on carpooling, consider implementing regulations that ensure the safety and reliability of carpooling services. Establish clear standards for driver background checks, vehicle safety, and insurance coverage to address public safety concerns while allowing carpooling to thrive.
2. Regularly assess the impact of carpooling policies and make adjustments as necessary. As transportation needs and technology evolve, the government should remain flexible in adapting policies to meet the changing demands of commuters and the city's transportation landscape.
3. Encourage carpooling through a range of incentives. This could include offering preferential treatment for car-poolers, such as access to carpool lanes, reduced tolls, and tax benefits. These incentives should make carpooling an attractive option for commuters.
4. Recognizing the environmental benefits of carpooling, individuals and carpooling groups can receive recognition or awards for their contribution to reducing emissions and traffic congestion.

## **CONCLUSION**

The decision of the Karnataka government to impose a blanket ban on carpooling in Bangalore, ostensibly in response to environmental concerns, traffic congestion, and safety issues, has stirred a complex and multifaceted debate. While the government's intentions to address these pressing issues are commendable, the carpooling ban's overall impact and effectiveness warrant scrutiny. The ban is not without consequences. It has caused economic disruption, particularly for ride-

sharing drivers who depend on these services for their livelihoods, and has increased the financial burden on commuters who found carpooling to be a cost-effective solution. In the broader context, it has also raised questions about the government's approach to transportation regulation, the need for innovative policies, and the importance of balancing sustainability with economic considerations.

International comparisons reveal that carpooling can play a vital role in reducing emissions, alleviating traffic congestion, and promoting cost-effective urban transportation. Rather than a wholesale ban, a more balanced approach is advisable. Regulation and incentives, such as carpool lanes, reduced tolls, tax benefits, and stringent safety standards, can ensure that carpooling is both safe and attractive for commuters.

Promoting the integration of carpooling with public transportation and fostering public awareness through educational campaigns can further enhance the practice's adoption. Continuous evaluation and adaptation are essential, recognizing that transportation needs are dynamic and that innovative solutions must evolve to address the ever-growing challenges faced by a bustling metropolis like Bangalore.

In the end, the carpooling ban in Bangalore reflects a policy choice, but its success will ultimately be determined by the government's ability to strike a balance between environmental sustainability, traffic management, public safety, and the economic well-being of its citizens. By embracing a more nuanced approach, the government can potentially harness the benefits of carpooling while addressing the concerns that led to this policy decision in the first place.

## Bibliography

The Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, (Act 59 of 1988)

- "Why should carpooling not be banned in Bengaluru?", Ground Report, 2 October 2023, available at: <https://m.groundreport.in/article/latest/why-carpooling-should-not-be-ban-in-bengaluru/200360> (last visited at 12 October 2023)
- "Carpooling banned in Bengaluru, penalty up to Rs 10,000", The Economic Times, 1 October 2023, available at: <https://m.economictimes.com/news/bengaluru->

news/carpooling-banned-in-bengaluru-penalty-up-to-rs-10000-know-more/amp\_articleshow/104080283.cms (last visited at 13 October 2023)

- Nischit N, "Carpool ban: Bump in road for de-congesting Bengaluru", Deccan Chronicle, 2 October 2023, available at: <https://www.deccanchronicle.com/amp/nation/current-affairs/110719/carpool-ban-bump-in-road-for-de-congesting-bengaluru.html> (last visited at 13 October 2023)

